

METERWAVELENGTH SINGLE-PULSE POLARIMETRIC EMISSION SURVEY

DIPANJAN MITRA^{1,2,3}, RAHUL BASU³, KRZYSZTOF MACIESIAK³, ANNA SKRZYPCZAK³, GEORGE I. MELIKIDZE^{3,4},

ANDRZEJ SZARY^{3,5}, AND KRZYSZTOF KRZESZOWSKI³

¹ National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India; dmitra@ncra.tifr.res.in

² Physics Department, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

³ Janusz Gil Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 2, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland

⁴ Abastumani Astrophysical Observatory, Ilia State University, 3-5 Cholokashvili Ave., Tbilisi, 0160, Georgia

⁵ ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

Received 2016 February 22; revised 2016 June 15; accepted 2016 June 23; published 2016 December 6

ABSTRACT

We have conducted the Meterwavelength Single-pulse Polarimetric Emission Survey to study the radio emission properties of normal pulsars. A total of 123 pulsars with periods between 0.1 and 8.5 s were observed in the survey at two different frequencies: 105 profiles at 333 MHz, 118 profiles at 618 MHz, and 100 pulsars at both. In this work we concentrate primarily on the time-averaged properties of the pulsar emission. The measured widths of the pulsar profiles in our sample usually exhibit the radius-to-frequency mapping. We validate the existence of lower bounds for the distribution of profile widths with pulsar period (*P*), which is seen for multiple definitions of the width, namely, a lower boundary line (LBL) at $2^{\circ}7P^{-0.5}$ for width defined as 5σ above the baseline level. In addition, we have measured the degree of linear polarization in the average profile of pulsars and confirmed their dependence on pulsar spin-down energy loss (*E*). The single-pulse polarization data show interesting trends, with the polarization position angle (PPA) distribution exhibiting the simple rotating vector model for high-*E* pulsars, while the PPA becomes more complex for medium- and low-*E* pulsars. The single-pulse total intensity data are useful for studying a number of emission properties from pulsars like subpulse drifting, nulling, and mode changing, which are being explored in separate works.

Key words: pulsars: general - radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

Supporting material: figure sets

1. INTRODUCTION

The coherent radio emission from pulsars is broadband in nature, ranging typically from tens of MHz to a few GHz. The pulsar population can be categorized into two distinct groups based on their rotation periods *P* and period derivatives \dot{P} , namely, the millisecond pulsars (MSPs) with $P \leq 30$ ms and $\dot{P} < 10^{-19}$ s s⁻¹, and normal pulsars with $P \gtrsim 100$ ms and $\dot{P} \gtrsim 10^{-17}$ s s⁻¹. In our present work we focus primarily on the normal pulsars. The coherent radio emission from pulsars is believed to originate as a result of the growth of instabilities in the relativistic plasma streaming along superstrong magnetic field lines within the pulsar magnetosphere (e.g., Melrose 1995). The physical processes that lead to the radio emission in pulsars are topics of active research, and their understanding would greatly benefit from new observational insights.

The individual pulses of a radio pulsar are highly variable and have proved essential in understanding various aspects of the pulsar emission mechanism. The variations in the total intensity single pulses reveal interesting features like microstructures, giant pulse, mode changing, nulling, and subpulse drifting in the radio emission. These effects provide insights into the dynamics of the nonstationary processes in the pulsar magnetosphere at short timescales, ranging from sub-nanoseconds to a few seconds. Extreme examples are the nanosecond pulses reported from the Crab pulsar (e.g., Hankins & Eilek 2007; Jessner et al. 2010) and in PSR B1937+21 (Soglasnov et al. 2004). The single-pulse polarization data showed the presence of orthogonal polarization modes (OPMs) and circular polarization, which provide important clues about the emergence and propagation of

the emission modes within the magnetospheric plasma (e.g., Mitra et al. 2009; Melikidze et al. 2014). The time-averaged properties of pulsar emission (known as the pulsar profile), obtained after averaging individual pulses over several tens of minutes, are observed to be highly stable, which is indicative of the global properties of the pulsar magnetosphere. The change of the profile width as a function of frequency reflects the radius-tofrequency mapping (RFM) likely signifying the radio emission at different frequencies originating at different heights (e.g., Mitra & Rankin 2002). The polarization position angle (PPA) of the linear polarization in the average profile resembles a characteristic S-shaped traverse, which, according to the rotating vector model (RVM; Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969) is interpreted as radiation arising from regions of dipolar magnetic field lines and often used to estimate the pulsar geometry. The pulsar profile is also important for determining the shape of the emission beam and the location of the radio emission within the magnetosphere (e.g., Rankin 1993; Mitra & Deshpande 1999). It is evident that the complete characterization of the pulsar emission is accomplished by a multifrequency (~100 MHz-5 GHz) approach involving a thorough understanding of the average profile and single-pulse nature in both total intensity and polarimetric data in the wider pulsar population, exemplified by a host of studies, including Taylor et al. (1975), Manchester et al. (1975), Lyne & Manchester (1988), Blaskiewicz et al. (1991), von Hoensbroech & Xilouris (1997), Gould & Lyne (1998) (GL98 hereafter), Johnston et al. (2008) (JKMG08 hereafter), Stinebring et al. (1984), and Mitra & Rankin (2011). Pulsars have also been detected at millimeter wavelengths, with tentative evidence for the possible turn-up in the spectra, which gives

additional clues for the emission changing from coherent to incoherent mode (e.g., Kramer et al. 1996).

A number of studies involving the time-averaged total intensity and polarization properties of pulsars have been reported in the literature, notably the comprehensive studies by GL98 at five frequencies between 234 and 1640 MHz using the Lovell Telescope and JKMG08 at multiple frequencies between 234 and 3100 MHz using the Parkes Telescope and the Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT). Polarization observations below 200 MHz are rare, with the most notable exception being the recent study by Noutsos et al. (2015) using the Low Frequency Array. Higher-frequency polarization studies have used the Effelsberg radio telescope (von Hoensbroech et al. 1998; Xilouris et al. 1998) and the Parkes Telescope (Karastergiou et al. 2005; Johnston & Weisberg 2006). The results have been utilized in constructing the shape of the pulsar emission beam (e.g., Mitra & Deshpande 1999; Karastergiou & Johnston 2007), estimating the radio emission heights (e.g., Kijak & Gil 1997; Mitra & Li 2004) and probing the validity of the RFM (Mitra & Rankin 2002).

Previous single-pulse surveys have mostly concentrated on the total intensity observations with a number of significant contributions aimed at understanding the phenomena of mode changing, subpulse drifting, and nulling (e.g., Weltevrede et al. 2006, 2007; Wang et al. 2007; Burke-Spolaor et al. 2012). In comparison, single-pulse polarization studies are relatively sparse. Some of the systematic single-pulse polarization studies have been conducted using the Arecibo telescope and the GMRT at 325 and 1400 MHz (Stinebring et al. 1984; Mitra & Rankin 2011; Mitra et al. 2015). A few sporadic studies using other telescopes involve mostly the brightest pulsars (e.g., Lovell: Gil & Lyne 1995; Westerbork: Edwards & Stappers 2004; Parkes: Johnston et al. 2001; Effelsberg and Westerbock: Karastergiou et al. 2002). These observations revealed important insights such as the existence of two OPMs that follow the RVM (Gil & Lyne 1995), the nature of partial cone emission (Mitra & Rankin 2011), the existence of polarization microstructure (Mitra et al. 2015), etc.

In light of the above discussion, it is apparent that there is a shortage of high-quality single-pulse polarization data for the wider pulsar population. The primary objective of this work is to conduct a survey of single-pulse polarization in a large sample of pulsars for studying multiple aspects of pulsar radio emission. We report two-frequency 333 and 618 MHz GMRT single-pulse polarization data could be obtained. In Sections 2 and 3 we discuss the sample selection, the observational detail, and the data analysis procedure. We present the results and discussion of the time-averaged and single-pulse properties in Section 4 and summarize the results in Section 5.

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

In recent years a large number of pulsars have been discovered by the Parkes radio telescope (Hobbs et al. 2004) in the decl. range south of $+25^{\circ}$, which is largely inaccessible to the majority of radio telescopes located at higher northern latitudes. This has resulted in a dearth of single-pulse polarization data for most of these pulsars, particularly at meter wavelengths. The GMRT, operating at meter wavelengths and located at relatively low latitudes, is suited for these studies in pulsars located north of -50° decl. The GMRT is

one of the most sensitive radio telescopes at meter wavelengths, second only to the Arecibo radio telescope in terms of sensitivity (the collecting area of the full GMRT is 0.67 times that of the Arecibo telescope), but with a greater sky coverage (the Arecibo telescope can observe in the decl. range between 0° and $+35^{\circ}$).

We have selected our sample from the ATNF pulsar database⁶ (Manchester et al. 2005) to be observed with the GMRT at 333 and 618 MHz in the decl. range of -50° to $+25^{\circ}$.⁷ The selection criterion were as follows: we restricted the sources to dispersion measures (DMs) lower than 200 pc cm⁻³ primarily to avoid scattering. However, some of the pulsars with $DM > 150 \text{ pc cm}^{-3}$ were affected by scattering at 333 MHz, but even in these cases the 618 MHz data remained unaffected and suitable for our studies. In addition, we only selected pulsars with estimated flux larger than 5 mJy at 618 MHz. This was motivated by our desire to study single pulses with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in excess of 10 using the GMRT. The selection criterion yielded 123 pulsars in the period range of 0.1-8.5 s. The majority of the pulsars have no previous single-pulse polarization data, but we have also included a few well-studied pulsars in our sample for calibration and verifying our analysis schemes.

3. OBSERVATIONS

The pulsar observations were carried out at the GMRT between 2014 January and August covering 25 observing days and roughly 180 hr of telescope time. The GMRT is an interferometer consisting of 30 antennas, each of 45 m diameter, operating at six different frequencies between 150 and 1450 MHz (Swarup et al. 1991). The antennas are arranged resembling a Y-shaped array with two distinct configurations, a central square populated by 14 antennas within an area of 1 square km, and the remaining 16 antennas spread out along three arms over a 25 km diameter. Pulsar observations are usually conducted in the phased-array (PA) mode (see Gupta et al. 2000; Sirothia 2000), where the signals from different antennas are co-added in phase. In order to reach sufficient sensitivity for single-pulse studies, we used approximately 20 antennas in the PA, which included all the available antennas in the central square and the two nearest arm antennas. The extreme arm antennas were not included since they would dephase very fast (within 15 minutes), as a result of ionospheric variations, and would reduce the effective S/N.

We observed at two separate frequency bands roughly between 317–333 MHz and 602–618 MHz. At these observing frequencies, the GMRT is equipped with dual linear feeds that are converted to left- and right-handed circular polarizations via a hybrid. The dual-polarization signals are passed through a superheterodyne system and down-converted to the baseband, before being finally fed to the GMRT software backend (GSB; Roy et al. 2010). The FX correlator algorithm is implemented in the GSB, where each polarization voltage is first digitally sampled at the Nyquist rate and subsequently fast Fourier

⁶ http://atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/

⁷ The current single-pulse study should be contrasted with the time-averaged polarization work by JKMG08 using GMRT with similar declination coverage. However, their experiment was designed to observe three frequencies simultaneously, using different subarrays. This resulted in lower sensitivities due to a lesser number of antennas used at each frequency. In addition, their sensitivities were also diminished due to a smaller frequency bandwidth coverage.

			۵		Ω	D		D							D					D	D		D	P*							D*	D*	D			D				D*	D*				D*		
	$f_{\rm pol}$	$(0_0')$	19	ŝ	25	24	~ 5	56	33	~ 5	÷	42	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\bf 5}$	11	70	8	5	47	66	~ 5	35	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\bf 5}$	64	~ 5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\bf 5}$	~ 5	~ 5	44	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	98	~ 5	6	13	~ 5	70	69	~ 5	~ 5	~2 5	~ 5	~ 5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	~ 5	S	98
ЛНz	$f_{5\sigma}$	$(0_0')$	40	~ 5	40	88	<5 5	72	85	~ 5	÷	57	~ 5	67	86	19	24	93	100	38	76	9	92	~ 5	~ 5	<5	9	83	9	66	11	23	31	30	80	85	11	9	13	28	~5 5	~ 5	~5 5	~ 5	34	30	100
6181	$\rm S/N_{avg}$		5.7	3.1	5.5	8.7	3.1	8.5	8.0	3.4	:	9.3	3.0	5.8	9.9	4.3	4.6	8.9	21.6	5.0	8.4	3.9	11.9	3.8	3.1	3.1	3.4	7.7	3.7	137.3	4.0	4.7	4.8	4.6	21.2	12.4	3.7	3.5	4.0	4.6	3.6	3.1	3.6	2.7	4.7	4.3	14.1
	$N_{ m p}$		1124	2144	519	2079	2080	2026	2158	2169	:	2188	1989	2079	2079	2152	2099	2188	1767	2067	2063	2161	2026	2090	2057	3454	2229	2222	2191	2215	1973	2125	2040	1905	401	860	2101	1963	2047	2000	866	2266	1193	2289	2181	2146	2478
			D		D	D		D		D											D		D	D*					D			D*		D*		D				D*			D*		D*		
	$f_{\rm pol}$	(0)	54	Ŝ	11	39	٢	68	86	Ş	74	37	7	71	35	14	21	4	66	ŝ	÷	÷	93	Ŝ	÷	Ŝ	ŝ	50	67	96	÷	11	÷	S	48	90	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	÷	ŝ	15	÷	ŝ	55	ŝ	ŝ	°5	35
IHz	$f_{5\sigma}$	(2)	62	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{5}}$	4	93	71	81	66	26	74	49	17	98	46	27	54	41	100	9	98	÷	94	7	÷	<5 5	15	93	88	76	÷	53	÷	34	61	94	37	÷	5	4	÷	\$	70	\$	34	<5 5	84
333 N	$\rm S/N_{avg}$		13.3	3.7	5.6	10.8	6.3	13.1	20.9	4.5	18.4	7.7	4.1	11.2	6.8	5.7	7.0	4.9	24.2	3.2	36.0	:	50.6	3.9	:	3.1	3.8	8.5	9.8	177.2	:	6.5	÷	5.0	16.4	33.2	5.0	:	3.5	6.6	:	3.5	6.3	3.2	4.7	3.4	7.1
	$N_{\rm p}$		2042	2180	615	2054	1993	2058	1868	1872	444	2118	2029	1993	1934	2128	2061	2194	1741	2079	327	:	1036	1964	:	2247	2050	2202	1961	1063	:	1528	÷	2090	725	1033	2022	:	2004	2019	:	2124	858	2155	2036	2155	2235
	\dot{E}_{31}	$(erg s^{-1})$	1.92	120	0.556	8.88	18.9	1.91	137	6.53	3.01	4090	6240	72.8	14.6	3810	564	121	14300	20.1	4.38	0.460	13.0	4.55	0.609	2370	40.8	619	0.963	56.0	1.08	0.597	1.40	37.4	8.79	1.43	6.09	7.43	78.7	0.745	2.66	269	5.33	2320	1.77	36.5	237
	$ au_6$	(yr)	36.6	27.7	52.4	10.2	8.33	17.0	1.51	76.3	1.48	0.253	0.089	3.78	2.77	0.111	0.426	3.68	0.157	6.68	9.32	4578	2.97	0.110	105	0.22	9.50	0.497	200	17.5	129	79.1	23.2	1.88	5.04	22.8	27.0	18.7	2.80	38.4	19.5	2.83	2.01	0.324	132	15.8	4.00
	RM	$\left(\frac{\text{rad}}{\text{m}^2}\right)$	9.89	13.00	2.00	2.00	-4.00	-8.30	13.80	37.00	-39.60	8.70	69.00	69.50	46.53	23.50	51.00	12.10	149.95	55.00	-1.20	57.70	25.32	144.00	153.00	291.00	-31.00	29.20	-7.00	-0.66	50.00	-8.00	-16.00	-37.00	3.97	-0.12	8.00	-20 (NA)	-41.00	170.00	-15.00	-34.00	4.00	-15.00	-49.00	-41.90	-7.00
	DM	$\left(\frac{bc}{cc}\right)$	10.92	21.81	25.66	11.93	12.90	15.74	39.90	79.34	50.94	77.71	96.91	84.19	34.42	13.98	61.29	160.80	73.78	63.33	40.94	113.40	12.86	94.16	179.70	196.43	15.88	27.30	12.50	2.97	92.70	50.75	33.78	40.53	4.85	9.26	29.63	13.31	42.00	118.00	60.49	48.70	49.00	55.98	73.05	144.50	56.10
	\dot{P}_{-15}	$(s \ s^{-1})$	0.4080	0.0784	0.4436	1.30	1.20	1.30	5.75	0.0736	40.1	15.4	59.4	2.00	7.12	55.0	19.0	1.62	16.8	1.62	2.11	0.0189	6.80	1.60	0.149	24.9	0.669	13.7	0.0453	0.230	0.0820	0.230	0.945	7.95	3.73	0.960	0.363	0.566	3.01	0.610	0.889	1.60	19.1	14.1	0.0622	0.330	1.02
	Period	(s)	0.942	0.136	1.464	0.832	0.630	1.387	0.548	0.354	3.745	0.245	0.334	0.476	1.244	0.384	0.510	0.374	0.166	0.682	1.238	0.545	1.273	1.116	0.988	0.346	0.401	0.430	0.570	0.253	0.670	1.150	1.386	0.943	1.187	1.382	0.617	0.670	0.532	1.478	1.096	0.286	2.417	0.288	0.518	0.329	0.257
	J-name		J0034-0721	J0134-2937	J0151-0635	J0152-1637	J0206-4028	J0304 + 1932	J0452–1759	J0525+1115	J0528 + 2200	J0543+2329	J0614+2229	J0629+2415	J0630–2834	10659 + 1414	J0729–1836	J0738-4042	J0742–2822	J0758–1528	J0820–1350	J0820-4114	J0837 + 0610	J0846-3533	J0905-4536	J0905-5127	J0908–1739	J0922 + 0638	J0944–1354	J0953+0755	J0959-4809	J1034-3224	J1041–1942	J1116-4122	J1136+1551	J1239+2453	J1257–1027	J1321 + 8323	J1328-4357	J1328-4921	J1418–3921	J1507-4352	J1527–3931	J1549-4848	J1555-3134	J1557-4258	J1559-4438
			1	7	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	4	43	4	45

Table 1Sample of Pulsars Observed

MITRA ET AL.

				D*	Ď	Đ		D		D*	D*				D	D*				D	D*	D		D	D	D*							°D	Ď							D						
	$f_{\rm pol}$	(%)	4	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	°5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	\$	66	~ 5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	61	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	35	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	٢	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{_{5}}$	~ 5	95	$\stackrel{\wedge}{5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	38	20	6	25	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{.}$	58	95	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	\$ S	°,	€ '	°5 S	:	~ 5	48	15	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	<5	°. S	°.	\ 5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$
MHz	$f_{5\sigma}$	(%)	09	8	4	S.	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	100	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle 5}$	7	89	°5 S	76	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	56	11	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	100	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\bf 5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	4	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	73	22	40	41	L	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	90	76	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{5}$	17	×	78	℃ '	°5	:	°5	66	36	~5 5	22	\$	15	°5	Ŝ
618	$\mathrm{S/N}_{\mathrm{avg}}$		6.2	3.4	5.3	3.2	2.6	90.5	3.0	3.7	7.6	3.6	8.2	3.5	5.7	4.1	3.2	2.8	29.0	3.8	2.9	5.1	3.1	7.6	4.4	5.4	9.3	3.8	3.4	10.9	70.3	3.1	3.8	3.7	6.8 1	5.5	3.3	:	3.0	12.1	4.7	3.3	4.2	3.6	4.3	2.9	3.1
	$N_{ m p}$		4208	2104	2165	1520	2482	2154	2054	2101	2039	2088	2059	2876	2125	2108	2286	2094	2157	2099	1959	2146	2145	2137	2035	2108	2121	2113	2095	1975	2128	2303	1606	2106	2200	0001	2114	:	2173	2090	1262	2043	2057	2144	2244	2167	2171
											D*				D					D		D			D	D*		D*					D*			1	D				D						
	$f_{\rm pol}$	(%)	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}5$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	÷	: '	ŝ	:	°5	ŝ	52	ŝ	73	ŝ	5	ŝ	Ş	ŝ	80	7	÷	50	÷	24	30	Ŝ	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	ŝ	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{_{\sim}}$	88	96	÷	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ,	€ '	ŝ	6	ŝ	60	7	ŝ	44	24	Ş	÷	ŝ
ΔHz	$f_{5\sigma}$	$(0_{0}^{\prime \prime})$	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	~ 5	÷	: '	Ŝ	:	<5 ∽	\$	82	°5	88	\$	54	8	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle 5}$	\$ S	98	45	÷	81	÷	81	33	10	11	16	~ 5	95	100	÷	18	18	. 1	€ '	ŝ	33	~2 2	100	27	~5 \	85	74	22	÷	€
333 N	$/N_{avg}$		3.7	2.8	÷	÷	÷	÷	3.4	3.2	7.5	3.4	15.5	3.3	5.3	4.2	3.2	3.0	17.8	5.2	÷	11.6	÷	7.3	5.1	4.0	3.8	4.2	3.4	14.9	48.4	÷	4.0	4.2	4.0 0.5	3.3	3.6	5.2	3.0	18.2	4.5	3.1	8.8	9.2	4.5	:	3.2
	N _p S		2128	2046	:	:	2482	:	1638	2076	1646	2182	6061	2876	2039	2107	2286	2030	2135	2009	:	2105	:	2090	1709	2088	2122	2074	2100	1938	2130	:	2116	2081	2195	2112	6661	2116	2129	2091	2059	2128	2058	2263	2254	:	3554
	I	((1	(I						(1		(1	_		(1	(1	(1	(1	(1	(1		(1		(1		(I	(I	(I	(I	_	(1		(1	(1)		. 4		(1	(1	(1	(1	(1	(1	(1)	(1		
	\dot{E}_{31}	(erg s ⁻¹	426	277	115	0.134	7510	121	37.4	7.42	1.46	255	89.4	341000	12.3	23.5	3250	2.01	1130	0.255	1540	65.0	924	11.1	1.05	56.7	849	78.2	0.470	12.5	180	3980	16.7	10.3	25.9 - 20	87.1	1.26	139	40.3	117	0.812	10.2	504	2.10	11.6	845	17800
	τ_6	(yr)	0.197	2.82	5.09	84.2	0.59	3.45	3.23	4.57	29.1	3.7	1.64	0.017	13.2	11.7	0.345	18.6	0.080	323	0.355	5.47	0.649	8.77	14.2	4.20	0.546	5.15	284	9.11	1.10	0.287	4.41	5.21	90.1	11.2	141	3.00	10.5	1.50	21.9	10.6	1.54	52.6	63.9	0.810	0.128
	RM	$\left(\frac{\text{rad}}{\text{m}^2}\right)$	71.50	15.00	-16.00	-7.00	10.00	15.80	-60.00	-15.00	-21.70	-44.00	-1.30	0.70	21.00	90.00	104.00	0.0(NA)	-429.10	-12.00	-335.00	38.00	-236.00	64.40	124.00	204.00	101.00	67.00	173.00	19.00	96.00	16.00	32.00	-62.00	166.00	//.00	90.00	66.00	102.90	69.20	124.00	153.00	95.00	145.00	100.00	0.0(NA)	42.00
	DM	$\left(\frac{pc}{cc}\right)$	170.93	53.76	140.80	145.00	193.23	35.76	128.28	166.97	110.31	146.36	24.89	75.69	42.64	126.06	99.50	147.00	123.33	41.14	153.50	73.51	138.56	48.67	74.90	158.50	88.37	99.36	189.35	20.40	50.37	179.45	120.37	125.61	112.38	/7.161	128.12	94.30	102.85	84.44	121.20	135.87	50.24	66.78	79.31	113.70	132.68
	\dot{P}_{-15}	(s s ⁻¹)	9.69	1.59	1.02	0.443	3.19	1.78	3.53	4.71	0.660	1.08	6.31	93.0	0.746	0.646	10.9	1.10	164	0.0427	15.0	1.21	7.88	1.45	2.27	1.93	10.7	1.21	0.0381	1.29	8.13	12.9	3.31	3.29	0.0288	1.24	0.0664	2.05	0.580	6.33	1.35	1.13	2.93	0.227	0.0719	5.92	20.6
	Period	(s)	0.864	0.283	0.327	2.355	0.118	0.387	0.719	1.358	1.211	0.255	0.653	0.102	0.620	0.477	0.236	1.293	0.829	0.871	0.337	0.419	0.322	0.803	2.043	0.512	0.367	0.394	0.684	0.742	0.562	0.234	0.921	1.081	0.163	0.8/0	0.592	0.387	0.384	0.598	1.874	0.759	0.284	0.752	0.290	0.302	0.165
	J-name		J1602-5100	J1603-2531	J1604-4909	J1625-4048	J1637-4553	J1645-0317	J1648-3256	J1700–3312	J1703–3241	J1705–3423	J1709–1640	J1709-4429	J1720–2933	J1722–3207	J1722–3712	J1727–2739	J1731-4744	J1733–2228	J1733–3716	J1735-0724	J1739–2903	J1740+1311	J1741–0840	J1741–3927	J1745-3040	J1748–1300	J1750–3503	J1751-4657	J1752–2806	J1757–2421	J1801-0357	J1801-2920	J1807-0847	J1808-0813	J1816-2650	J1817-3618	J1817–3837	J1820–0427	J1822–2256	J1823-0154	J1823–3106	J1823 + 0550	J1834-0426	J1835-1020	J1835-1106
			46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	99	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	<i>LL</i>	78	6/	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90

Table 1 (Continued) MITRA ET AL.

4

in the ses fs _c	t available age of pul	M was not he percent	for which KI atio S/N _{ave} , th	are pulsars l-to-noise ra	"(NA)" ak signa	itities with iverage pea	n the quar RFL the i	(RM) colum Fected due to	ion measure lat are not af	log. In the rotati igle pulses N _n th	F pulsar cata number of sir	ned from the ATN 33 MHz data: the	ameters obtai roperties for 3	ious pulsar par single-pulse p	mns give var 9)–(13) give	The first eight colui catalog. Columns (Note. ATNF
	n	=	۷.с	7170	ے ا	74	47	c.0	6402	07.6	1.61	00.6-	00.77	00.1	1.181	2040-0122 L	123
	24 7	53	7.0	2093	μ	80	82	29.8	1177	4.12	5.62	16.00	8.46	4.63	1.643	J2330-2005	122
D	~ ?	٢	3.7	2091	D	26	61	6.3	1727	1.37	21.9	-37.00	20.91	1.05	1.444	J2317+2149	121
	÷	÷	:	÷		76	93	18.9	2408	10.4	49.3	7.00	17.28	0.112	0.349	J2313 + 4253	120
	÷	÷	:	÷	D	56	62	9.6	1608	2.92	8.63	-75.50	49.64	2.89	1.575	J2305 + 3100	119
	~ 5	43	5.9	239		90	76	69.1	325	0.00318	272	-2.00	3.35	0.496	8.509	J2144–3933	118
	69	LL	21.0	1822	D	81	86	62.1	1174	5.73	2.84	-10.00	11.46	11.0	1.961	J2048–1616	117
D	~ 5	8	3.7	1543		÷	÷	:	÷	0.488	98.9	-100.00	39.84	0.182	1.138	J2046 + 1540	116
D	32	68	7.4	2069	D	75	93	15.1	2008	1.57	16.7	-1.00	35.80	1.47	1.546	J2046–0421	115
D*	~ 5	~ 5	3.7	2083	D*	9	23	4.6	2005	0.927	200	-62.00	32.39	0.0460	0.580	J2006-0807	114
D	24	29	6.2	2171		21	28	7.2	5431	1.11	290	-28.00	16.22	0.0241	0.440	J1946 + 1805	113
	18	38	5.3	2224		5	26	4.3	2001	57.7	6.68	-33.50	50.04	0.956	0.402	J1941–2602	112
	91	96	14.8	2222	D	95	76	23.0	2596	393	3.10	-6.87	3.18	1.16	0.226	J1932 + 1059	111
D	84	100	24.6	1978		÷	÷	:	÷	2.23	15.7	-16.99	12.44	1.35	1.337	J1921+2153	110
	~ 5	~ ?	3.7	2053	D	Ş	6	4.1	2015	6.39	14.5	160.00	153.85	0.896	0.821	J1921 + 1948	109
D*	~ 5	9	3.5	2152		÷	÷	:	÷	0.563	43.1	47.00	191.90	0.589	1.603	J1919 + 0134	108
	~ 5	10	3.9	2100	D	٢	28	6.5	1656	14.7	2.63	120.00	90.31	7.67	1.272	J1919+0021	107
	< 5	9	3.6	2141		\$	4	5.6	2073	3850	0.428	233.00	94.54	7.20	0.194	J1917+1353	106
	< 5	\$ 2	3.1	2181		\$	°5 €	3.3	2224	504e	1.70	100.00	60.95	2.52	0.270	J1916 + 0951	105
	90	100	21.9	2099		82	100	23.3	2069	28.5	3.22	3.98	89.39	4.07	0.825	J1913-0440	104
	< 5	13	4.2	1527		\$	18	4.3	1527	1.39	8.26	-127.00	82.93	4.47	2.330	J1910 + 0358	103
D	8	09	5.7	2061	D	26	73	6.9	2022	457	1.70	540.00	149.98	2.64	0.283	J1909 + 1102	102
D	12	28	4.7	1049	D	29	42	5.7	2012	1.14	17.2	29.00	72.68	1.64	1.781	J1901-0906	101
D	34	70	6.4	2095	D	68	85	12.9	1976	3.52	47.4	-2.30	37.99	0.205	0.612	J1900-2600	100
	< 5	~ 5	3.3	1900		\$	12	4.0	2062	9.10	60.8	-21.00	56.81	0.0877	0.336	J1852–2610	66
	< 5	24	5.3	2068		\$	19	4.3	2066	59.7	0.497	-35.00	148.17	40.62	1.451	J1849-0636	98
1	~ 5	\sim 5.	2.8	2192		Ş	Ş	2.9	2171	2.11	335	0.0(NA)	134.47	0.0141	0.297	J1848–1414	70
D	~ 5	18	4.4	2113		$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\circ}$	Ş	3.7	2180	72.3	1.99	580.00	159.53	5.25	0.659	J1848-0123	96
	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	16	4.2	2070		\diamond	8	4.1	2072	956	0.183	117.00	141.98	50.17	0.597	J1847-0402	95
	\sim 5	\sim 5.	3.3	2223		Ş	41	4.9	2121	140	3.18	109.00	41.50	1.87	0.375	J1844 + 1454	94
	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle 5}$	3.6	2087		\diamond	Ş	3.5	1962	0.451	1.79	0.0(NA)	101.50	7.79	0.880	J1843-0000	93
D	~ 5	13	4.1	1925	D	Ş	Ş	3.8	1871	0.322	57.3	326.00	195.98	0.509	1.839	J1842-0359	92
	<5	9	3.6	2131		<5	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	3.2	2134	77.6	5.54	53.00	49.11	1.09	0.381	J1841 + 0912	91
	(%)	(%)				(%)	(%)			$(erg s^{-1})$	(yr)	$\left(\frac{rad}{m^2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{bc}{cc}\right)$	$(s \ s^{-1})$	(s)		
	$f_{\rm pol}$	$f_{5\sigma}$	S/N_{avg}	$N_{ m p}$		$f_{\rm pol}$	$f_{5\sigma}$	S/N_{avg}	$N_{ m p}$	\dot{E}_{31}	τ_6	RM	DM	\dot{P}_{-15}	Period	J–name	
		8 MHz	61				3 MHz	33									
			,														

Table 1 (Continued) MITRA ET AL.

		MSP	ES Observational Su	mmary			
Freq (MHz)	Total BW (MHz)	Channel BW (MHz)	t _{res} (ms)	N _{TAP}	$N_{\rm SP}$	$N_{ m pol}$	N _{Drift}
333	16.66	0.065	0.245	105	83	59	39
618	16.66	0.065	0.245	118	93	49	44
Common				100	72	40	26

Table 2MSPES Observational Summary

Note. The first four columns of the table specify the observing frequency, bandwidth, channel, and time resolutions. Column (5) gives the number of pulsars N_{TAP} with good time-averaged polarization profiles, column (6) gives the number of pulsars N_{SP} for which the S/N of the single-pulse exceeds the 5 σ limit, and column (7)

transformed to produce frequency channels across the bands, which are then cross-correlated. In the PA mode of the GSB, with full Stokes capability, the voltage signals from all the selected antennas are added for each polarization to get dual-polarization data that are used to produce the raw Stokes values using a multiplying polarimeter algorithm. We used a total bandwidth of 16.67 MHz spread over 256 channels with time resolution of 0.245 ms. The final time-series data were equivalent to a large single dish, and similar polarization calibration can be applied to get the calibrated Stokes parameters (Johnston 2002; Mitra et al. 2005, 2007, JKMG08).

Our polarization calibration and analysis were similar to JKMG08. The antennas were initially phase-aligned with respect to a reference antenna using a strong flux calibrator as a model. Before recording each pulsar, a secondary phase calibrator close to the source was used to verify the phase alignment of the antennas and the phases realigned if needed. The auto- and cross-polarized data were recorded in a filterbank format and were analyzed using the software schemes developed by Mitra et al. (2005) and later used in JKMG08. The polarization data were gain-corrected and converted to the four Stokes parameters (I, Q, U, V) for each individual spectral channel. Proper corrections were applied for the fixed delay between the circular polarization channels of the reference antenna. This was followed by correcting for the phase lags caused by Faraday rotation in the interstellar medium using the known rotation measure (hereafter RM) of the pulsar (ATNF database, also given in Table 1). We further verified the catalog RMs by using the technique of maximizing the linear polarization across the observing band (e.g., Mitra et al. 2003; Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005; Sobey et al. 2015). Using our analysis methods, we were not able to measure RM with any accuracy for these cases and used an RM value of 0.0 rad m^{-2} for analysis. In one pulsar, J1321+8323, we were able to estimate from our data the previously unreported RM. Finally, we searched for and rejected spectral channels affected by radio frequency interference (RFI) before averaging the channels, adjusted to the upper edge of the band at 333 and 618 MHz, respectively, for each of the four Stokes parameters. During each observing run, the data quality was frequently monitored by interspersing the test pulsars B0950+08, B1133+16, and B1929 +10 at regular intervals and different parallactic angles. We have estimated the systematic error in the linear and circular polarization measurements to be $\sim 5\%$.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have recorded a total of 223 good average polarization profiles from 123 pulsars at two frequencies. In Table 2 we present a short summary of the observations, and in Table 1 we summarize the observational results. Our sample has 77 pulsars in common with GL98 and 30 pulsars in common with JKMG08, which are the notable polarization surveys of pulsars at similar wavelengths, though our study had a significantly improved S/N. Additionally, 79 pulsars in our sample were found to be in common with the single-pulse study of subpulse drifting by Weltevrede et al. (2007, 2006) and using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope at 90 cm and 21 cm. There are 47 pulsars in our sample that were also in the list of the pulsars used for single-pulse study by Burke-Spolaor et al. (2012) at 1350 MHz.

Most of the pulsars were observed for about 2100 pulses; however, in some cases we had fewer periods due to a variety of reasons, such as the presence of RFI, setting of sources during the observing run, time on calibrator source, etc. The single-pulse polarization study at 618 MHz, to the best of our knowledge, is the first such survey carried out at this frequency band. For each observed pulsar we determined the number of usable pulses (N_p) , unaffected by RFI, along with the average S/N (S/N_{avg}) of the peak intensity for the entire observing run. We further estimated the percentage of single pulses for which the peak S/N > 5 (see Table 1). We found around 77% of the data, corresponding to 104 pulsars, useful for single-pulse analysis (see Table 2 for full summary). To give an assessment of the single-pulse polarization quality, we quote the percentage of single pulses in which PPA values for linear polarization S/N > 3 could be measured. This gave ~50% of the pulsars that had more than 5% of the single pulses with measured PPA values (see Tables 1 and 2). In the remainder of this section we discuss the preliminary outcomes of our survey.

4.1. Time-averaged Properties

The time-averaged profiles, with periods of each pulsar determined using the *TEMPO2*⁸ software, were obtained by averaging the single pulses, after rejecting the ones affected by RFI (an example of average polarization for the pulsar J1751–4657 is shown in Figure 1). We estimated pulse widths at each frequency (ν) using three different schemes, namely, the $W_{5\sigma}^{\nu}$ corresponding to the pulse width measured at 5 times the baseline noise rms and W_{10}^{ν} and W_{50}^{ν} corresponding to widths at 10% and 50% level of the peak intensity, respectively. All the measured widths at each frequency are shown in Table 3 along with the error in pulse widths, computed using the prescription of Kijak & Gil (1997) (Equation (4) therein). The average linear polarization $L(\phi_i)$ across the profile phase, ϕ_i , was obtained by summing up the Stokes $U(\phi_i)$ and $Q(\phi_i)$ along each ϕ_i and using the relation $L(\phi_i) =$

⁸ http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/tempo2/



Figure 1. The left panel shows the time-averaged polarization properties of PSR J1751–4657 at 333 MHz. The three panels on the right show the distributions of the variation of %L, %V, and |V| (from bottom to top) that arise due to the noise in the baseline. The red line shows the median of the distribution, and the green line shows the rms. See Section 4.1.2 for details.

(The complete figure set (123 images) is available.)

 $\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{j}(\phi_{i})\right)^{2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}Q_{j}(\phi_{i})\right)^{2}/n}$, where *n* is the total number of pulses. The $L(\phi_{i})$ estimated above has a positive bias, and a mean value of the linear polarization obtained from the off-pulse region is subtracted to obtain the final $L(\phi_{i})$. The average circular polarization was obtained using the relation $V(\phi_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}(\phi_{i})/n$. The average PPA was obtained as $\Psi(\phi_{i}) = 0.5$ tan $^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}U_{j}(\phi_{i})/\sum_{j=1}^{n}Q_{j}(\phi_{i})\right)$, with only points greater than 3 times the rms of the linear polarization baseline level being used.

4.1.1. Pulse Widths

Pulse width serves as a useful tool for investigating the geometry and location of pulsar radio emission within the magnetosphere. In Figure 2 we show the dependence of the different widths, W_{50}^{ν} , W_{10}^{ν} , and $W_{5\sigma}^{\nu}$, on pulsar period P. In Table 3 we have indicated 12 pulsars at 333 MHz and the pulsar J1848-0123 at 618 MHz, which were highly scattered and not used in our plots and statistical analysis. The bottom panel of Figure 2 shows the ratio of the widths at the two frequencies, and the dashed gray line is the median value of the ratio. The average ratio of the three profile measures is ~ 0.89 and agrees with the putative phenomenon of RFM (e.g., Mitra & Rankin 2002). Assuming a power-law dependence of the frequency on widths, $W^{\nu} \propto \nu^{\hat{a}}$, we find $a \sim -0.19 \pm 0.1$, which agrees with previous results (Mitra & Deshpande 1999; Mitra & Rankin 2002; Chen & Wang 2014). There was one notable exception to the above results, PSR J1034-3224, where the ratio of widths was significantly larger than unity. On closer inspection, it was revealed that an additional emission component appeared at 618 MHz that was absent at 333 MHz.

The pulse width decreasing with increasing P, seen in Figure 2, is a well-established phenomenon with a lower bound to the distribution of widths noted by several authors (e.g., Lyne & Manchester 1988; Rankin 1990, 1993, GL98, Maciesiak & Gil 2011; Maciesiak et al. 2012; Pilia et al. 2015). In particular, Rankin (1990) found that W_{50} for the core components followed a lower boundary line (LBL) corresp-onding to $2^{\circ}.45P^{-0.5}$, where the $P^{-0.5}$ dependence is the scaling of the opening angle of the open dipolar field lines (e.g., Biggs 1990; Kramer et al. 1998). Recently Maciesiak et al. (2012) emphasized the existence of the same LBL, $2^{\circ}.45P^{-0.5}$, for W_{50} at 1 GHz frequency in a wider population of 1450 pulsars, which included both core and conal components. They argued that the LBL corresponds to the smallest angular structures that can be observed either as core or conal component widths. In Figure 2 the width distribution also appears to have a lower bound. Since the pulse widths at 618 MHz are smaller, the lower bound is dominated by the 618 MHz measurements. We modeled the lower bound for W_{50} by scaling the 1 GHz value of 2°.45 to 618 MHz using $\alpha \sim -0.19$ and find the LBL to be $2^{\circ}.7P^{-0.5}$, which appears to be consistent with our result. In the case of widths W_{10} and $W_{5\sigma}$ we observed LBLs, but there are no previous estimates to compare our results. The lower bounds, which are dominated by measurements at 618 MHz for W_{10} and $W_{5\sigma}$, could be represented by an LBL of the form $5^{\circ}.7P^{-0.5}$ and $6^{\circ}.3P^{-0.5}$, respectively, and were derived by visual examination. Since the W_{10} and $W_{5\sigma}$ are measured at comparatively lower intensity levels than W_{50} , these measurements include both the width of

			333	MHz					618 N	ИНz		
PSR	Т%	Λ%	/	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	W ₁₀ (deg)	W ₅₀ (deg)	7%	A%	/	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	$\stackrel{W_{10}}{(^{\circ})}$	W ₅₀ (°)
J0034-0721	19.7 ± 0.2	15.8 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.2	49.6 ± 0.1	41.6 ± 0.1	22.8 ± 0.1	20.4 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 0.9	7.7 ± 0.8	32.9 ± 0.1	 + 	23.4 ± 0.1
J0134-2937	70.7 ± 2.4	-7.0 ± 1.9	11.0 ± 1.7	31.7 ± 0.9	 + 	16.2 ± 0.6	69.5 ± 2.5	-15.5 ± 2.2	16.6 ± 2.1	23.9 ± 0.9	 + 	18.8 ± 0.9
J0151-0635	33.9 ± 0.9	-7.0 ± 1.2	11.0 ± 0.8	39.7 ± 0.1	 # 	36.6 ± 0.2	38.9 ± 0.6	-9.9 ± 0.7	11.9 ± 0.6	37.9 ± 0.1	 # 	32.0 ± 0.1
J0152-1637	15.5 ± 0.1	-1.1 ± 0.2	12.7 ± 0.1	15.0 ± 0.3	11.8 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.3	14.4 ± 0.2	-4.3 ± 0.4	10.5 ± 0.2	14.4 ± 0.3	11.5 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 0.3
J0206-4028	19.7 ± 0.6	-14.4 ± 0.7	17.3 ± 0.5	13.8 ± 0.4	8.8 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.2	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 #
10304 + 1932	39.5 ± 0.3	11.8 ± 0.2	12.0 ± 0.2	21.9 ± 0.1	19.5 ± 0.1	15.9 ± 0.1	37.6 ± 0.2	11.1 ± 0.2	11.2 ± 0.2	19.7 ± 0.1	17.7 ± 0.1	13.6 ± 0.1
J0452-1759	24.3 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.1	35.8 ± 0.4	26.4 ± 0.4	19.8 ± 0.4	15.8 ± 0.4	-2.2 ± 0.3	3.4 ± 0.2	30.6 ± 0.4	24.5 ± 0.4	19.0 ± 0.4
J0525+1115	23.1 ± 1.7	8.5 ± 1.0	9.6 ± 0.7	23.5 ± 0.4	20.9 ± 0.3	15.7 ± 0.3	25.8 ± 1.9	13.4 ± 2.3	14.5 ± 1.7	18.0 ± 0.4	 # 	15.5 ± 0.3
J0528 + 2200	37.3 ± 0.2	-4.8 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.1	22.6 ± 0.06	20.5 ± 0.06	17.6 ± 0.06	 + -	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 +
J0543+2329	74.7 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.6	5.3 ± 0.4	42.1 ± 0.9	30.2 ± 0.9	9.7 ± 0.9	61.7 ± 0.5	-11.7 ± 0.7	12.1 ± 0.6	37.4 ± 0.9	25.9 ± 0.9	9.0 ± 0.9
J0614+2229	74.9 ± 0.8	13.1 ± 0.7	13.3 ± 0.7	22.2 ± 0.7	17.4 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 0.7	68.7 ± 2.1	10.7 ± 2.2	12.3 ± 1.7	12.4 ± 0.7	 # 	7.5 ± 0.7
J0629+2415	29.9 ± 0.3	14.1 ± 0.2	14.7 ± 0.2	24.9 ± 0.5	17.6 ± 0.5	6.7 ± 0.5	30.9 ± 0.5	9.9 ± 0.4	11.3 ± 0.3	22.3 ± 0.5	16.5 ± 0.5	7.2 ± 0.5
J0630-2834	27.7 ± 0.2	-4.1 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.2	64.9 ± 0.2	43.3 ± 0.2	19.3 ± 0.2	55.7 ± 0.1	-7.2 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.1	54.7 ± 0.2	37.4 ± 0.2	18.7 ± 0.2
J0659+1414	78.1 ± 1.5	-2.3 ± 1.6	9.7 ± 0.9	31.9 ± 0.6	 # 	15.6 ± 0.3	69.6 ± 1.8	-6.5 ± 2.0	12.3 ± 1.3	27.4 ± 0.6	 # 	14.3 ± 0.6
J0729-1836	25.8 ± 0.8	-8.7 ± 0.5	10.0 ± 0.5	24.8 ± 0.4	20.7 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.4	29.7 ± 1.5	-13.8 ± 1.3	14.9 ± 1.1	20.5 ± 0.4	19.6 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.4
J0738-4042	11.9 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.2	216.7 ± 0.6	195.7 ± 0.6	82.9 ± 0.6	13.2 ± 0.2	-6.6 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.1	81.7 ± 0.6	53.6 ± 0.6	32.6 ± 0.6
J0742-2822	71.2 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	46.7 ± 1.3	22.3 ± 1.3	13.8 ± 1.3	90.0 ± 0.2	-5.7 ± 0.2	5.8 ± 0.1	29.2 ± 1.4	17.0 ± 1.3	11.7 ± 1.3
J0758-1528	22.7 ± 2.6	4.5 ± 2.6	7.0 ± 1.7	6.2 ± 0.3	 # 	4.6 ± 0.3	17.8 ± 0.6	-3.0 ± 0.6	4.1 ± 0.5	7.9 ± 0.3	7.1 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.1
J0820-1350	 # 	 	 # 	13.7 ± 0.2	10.4 ± 0.2	6.7 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.2	-13.0 ± 0.2	13.6 ± 0.2	12.4 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.2	6.8 ± 0.2
J0820-4114	 + 	 + 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	38.6 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 1.7	11.3 ± 0.9	104.3 ± 0.4	 # 	100.7 ± 0.4
J0837 + 0610	12.8 ± 0.0	-2.1 ± 0.0	4.1 ± 0.0	14.6 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.1	-5.5 ± 0.1	6.2 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.2	9.4 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.2
J0846-3533	 # 	 + 	 # 	32.9 ± 0.2	31.8 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.2	39.5 ± 0.9	-14.8 ± 1.0	19.2 ± 0.7	27.0 ± 0.2	26.6 ± 0.2	4.8 ± 0.2
J0905-4536	 + -	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	54.7 ± 5.7	0.2 ± 5.5	18.4 ± 3.4	60.4 ± 0.1	 + 	 +
J0905-5127	81.7 ± 1.6	5.6 ± 2.3	9.2 ± 1.3	16.8 ± 0.7	 # 	10.9 ± 0.7	81.8 ± 1.1	6.7 ± 1.3	7.7 ± 1.1	13.0 ± 0.7	 # 	9.2 ± 0.6
J0908-1739	23.5 ± 1.5	3.0 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 0.8	20.5 ± 0.6	20.5 ± 0.6	8.8 ± 0.6	20.6 ± 2.2	-1.3 ± 2.0	5.7 ± 1.6	18.1 ± 0.6	 # 	9.2 ± 0.6
J0922 + 0638	38.6 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.2	25.5 ± 0.5	20.8 ± 0.5	9.7 ± 0.5	46.5 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.2	21.4 ± 0.5	17.1 ± 0.5	7.6 ± 0.5
J0944-1354	31.7 ± 0.3	17.4 ± 0.2	24.1 ± 0.2	9.3 ± 0.4	7.4 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.4	18.6 ± 0.9	25.8 ± 2.4	28.3 ± 1.7	7.3 ± 0.4	7.0 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.4
J0953+0755	33.1 ± 0.1	-4.5 ± 0.1	5.3 ± 0.0	263.8 ± 0.9	31.8 ± 0.9	16.1 ± 0.9	17.0 ± 0.2	-8.2 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	249.1 ± 0.9	30.8 ± 0.9	13.6 ± 0.9
J0959-4809	 # 	 + 	 # 	 	 + 	 + 	41.5 ± 0.6	-9.5 ± 0.9	11.3 ± 0.6	63.9 ± 0.3	 # 	53.1 ± 0.3
J1034–3224	6.8 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 0.8	76.1 ± 0.2	60.5 ± 0.2	6.8 ± 0.2	20.8 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 0.6	9.7 ± 0.4	93.9 ± 0.2	91.3 ± 0.2	23.2 ± 0.2
J1041-1942	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 # 	 + 	38.3 ± 0.4	6.3 ± 0.4	7.2 ± 0.4	18.4 ± 0.2	18.2 ± 0.2	14.9 ± 0.2
J1116-4122	5.1 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.4	10.0 ± 0.2	9.0 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 1.1	-3.0 ± 1.4	3.7 ± 1.1	10.0 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.2
J1136+1551	31.8 ± 0.0	-14.4 ± 0.0	14.4 ± 0.0	14.2 ± 0.2	12.1 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.2	25.0 ± 0.2	-11.9 ± 0.2	11.9 ± 0.2	13.6 ± 0.2	11.2 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2
11239 + 2453	46.6 ± 0.1	-10.4 ± 0.1	14.6 ± 0.1	18.7 ± 0.2	15.6 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.2	46.8 ± 0.2	-3.1 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.2	12.4 ± 0.2
J1257-1027	25.0 ± 0.9	5.0 ± 0.7	8.2 ± 0.5	18.9 ± 0.4	16.6 ± 0.4	3.7 ± 0.4	25.7 ± 1.0	-3.2 ± 1.1	7.4 ± 0.8	16.6 ± 0.4	16.1 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.4
J1321 + 8323	 # 	 + 	 + 	 ++ 	 + 	 # 	63.1 ± 1.7	-8.1 ± 1.7	11.8 ± 1.3	17.8 ± 0.3	 # 	12.1 ± 0.3
J1328-4357	34.7 ± 2.4	19.3 ± 2.2	19.8 ± 1.9	16.0 ± 0.4	 # 	11.8 ± 0.4	29.2 ± 0.8	9.6 ± 0.8	10.1 ± 0.6	14.6 ± 0.4	14.1 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.4
J1328-4921	23.2 ± 0.9	-1.6 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 0.5	17.9 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	21.6 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 1.0	9.2 ± 0.6	16.1 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2
J1418-3921	 # 	 + 	 # 	 + 	 + 	 # 	21.7 ± 3.1	-5.9 ± 3.2	9.2 ± 2.7	18.2 ± 0.2	 # 	7.7 ± 0.2
J1507-4352	50.2 ± 1.2	-16.4 ± 1.0	17.0 ± 0.9	15.1 ± 0.8	14.2 ± 0.8	7.4 ± 0.8	34.9 ± 1.8	-6.4 ± 1.8	8.4 ± 1.3	11.4 ± 0.4	 # 	6.2 ± 0.8
J1527-3931	32.0 ± 0.4	14.2 ± 0.3	14.6 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.1	27.3 ± 0.8	19.6 ± 1.0	20.1 ± 1.0	6.4 ± 0.1	 + 	5.4 ± 0.1
$J1549-4848^{\dagger}$	30.5 ± 2.8	-0.8 ± 1.8	4.3 ± 1.5	16.0 ± 0.8	 # 	6.4 ± 0.8	 # 	 # 	 # 	12.0 ± 0.8	 # 	7.1 ± 0.8
J1555-3134	16.9 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.6	5.6 ± 0.4	28.2 ± 0.4	25.6 ± 0.4	20.3 ± 0.4	15.7 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.6	3.7 ± 0.4	25.8 ± 0.4	23.9 ± 0.4	19.6 ± 0.4
J1557-4258	 # 	 # 	 # 	39.8 ± 0.7	 # 	21.0 ± 0.7	40.5 ± 0.9	-17.4 ± 0.9	18.9 ± 0.6	21.0 ± 0.7	12.1 ± 0.7	5.6 ± 0.7
J1559-4438	47.1 ± 0.5	-3.6 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.3	46.2 ± 0.9	19.6 ± 0.9	9.6 ± 0.9	53.1 ± 0.2	-8.6 ± 0.2	9.0 ± 0.1	52.0 ± 0.9	18.6 ± 0.9	10.0 ± 0.9
J1602-5100*	 + 	 # 	 + 	42.8 ± 0.3	 + 	13.7 ± 0.3	17.5 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.3	12.8 ± 0.2	21.4 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.3

 Table 3

 Average Width and Polarization Properties

MITRA ET AL.

			333	MHz					618 N	MHz		
PSR	T‰	A%	[<u>A</u>]	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	W ₁₀ (deg)	<i>W</i> ₅₀ (deg)	T%	A%	[A]	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	W ₁₀ (°)	W ₅₀ (°)
J1603-2531	52.9 ± 3.7	-0.4 ± 4.4	14.0 ± 3.4	11.6 ± 0.8	 + 	10.6 ± 0.8	38.1 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 1.6	4.8 ± 1.0	15.0 ± 0.8	 # 	8.8 ± 0.8
J1604-4909	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	13.5 ± 0.5	-1.7 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 0.4	20.3 ± 0.7	14.6 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 0.7
J1625-4048	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 	 # 	32.7 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.6	10.5 ± 1.0	21.3 ± 0.1	 # 	12.2 ± 0.1
J1637-4553	 + 	 + 	 # 	 + 	 ++ 	 + 	69.6 ± 9.7	3.0 ± 10.0	19.0 ± 7.3	16.4 ± 1.9	 + 	 +
J1645-0317	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	15.6 ± 0.0	-0.4 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 0.0	23.3 ± 0.6	8.0 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.6
J1648-3256	 + 	 + 	 + 	9.4 ± 0.3	 + 	6.3 ± 0.3	29.5 ± 6.1	1.4 ± 7.3	11.4 ± 4.4	8.1 ± 0.3	 + 	6.0 ± 0.3
J1700-3312	43.9 ± 2.0	-8.7 ± 2.4	13.8 ± 1.9	15.7 ± 0.2	 ++ 	14.7 ± 0.2	40.4 ± 0.8	-15.2 ± 0.8	15.7 ± 0.7	13.4 ± 0.2	 # 	5.2 ± 0.2
J1703-3241	43.9 ± 0.2	-2.1 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.1	20.9 ± 0.2	18.4 ± 0.2	14.7 ± 0.2	52.3 ± 0.3	-2.8 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.1	17.3 ± 0.2	15.8 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.2
J1705-3423*	 + 	 # 	 # 	64.5 ± 0.9	 # 	61.7 ± 0.9	18.7 ± 1.6	1.5 ± 2.0	8.7 ± 1.2	44.7 ± 0.9	 # 	21.8 ± 0.9
J1709-1640	27.8 ± 0.2	-5.0 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.1	19.0 ± 0.3	13.0 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.3	12.8 ± 0.4	-0.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.2	16.9 ± 0.3	12.3 ± 0.3	6.2 ± 0.3
J1709-4429	63.8 ± 6.1	-25.5 ± 5.8	30.0 ± 5.8	54.4 ± 2.2	 	42.3 ± 2.2	82.8 ± 1.8	-23.7 ± 2.0	24.7 ± 1.8	53.5 ± 2.2	 	31.9 ± 2.2
J1720-2933	20.8 ± 0.7	16.7 ± 0.6	16.7 ± 0.6	25.7 ± 0.4	24.8 ± 0.4	19.1 ± 0.4	19.0 ± 0.6	11.0 ± 0.6	11.1 ± 0.5	24.1 ± 0.4	23.1 ± 0.4	17.3 ± 0.4
J1722-3207*	7.3 ± 0.8	-1.6 ± 1.0	3.4 ± 0.7	45.1 ± 0.5	39.3 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 0.5	19.1 ± 0.7	-3.9 ± 0.7	7.8 ± 0.5	17.4 ± 0.5	17.0 ± 0.5	10.9 ± 0.5
J1722-3712	44.3 ± 1.6	9.1 ± 2.1	10.2 ± 1.5	25.1 ± 0.9	 	13.1 ± 0.9	43.7 ± 1.5	9.6 ± 1.3	10.0 ± 1.2	18.0 ± 1.0	17.6 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 0.9
J1727-2739	 # 	 + 	 # 	45.9 ± 1.7	 # 	36.3 ± 1.7	70.2 ± 9.4	-6.8 ± 9.8	24.0 ± 6.8	35.2 ± 0.9	 # 	30.5 ± 0.9
J1731-4744	15.0 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	8.0 ± 0.1	20.4 ± 0.3	12.6 ± 0.3	5.0 ± 0.3	18.2 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.1	17.7 ± 0.3	11.3 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.3
J1733-2228	22.5 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 0.4	41.6 ± 0.3	34.4 ± 0.3	5.7 ± 0.3	25.4 ± 1.5	6.0 ± 1.4	13.1 ± 0.9	35.8 ± 0.3	 # 	24.5 ± 0.3
J1733-3716	 # 	 + 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	78.1 ± 4.2	-20.0 ± 5.0	24.3 ± 4.2	62.7 ± 0.7	 # 	53.2 ± 0.7
J1735-0724	20.7 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.2	23.4 ± 0.5	10.6 ± 0.5	5.1 ± 0.5	24.1 ± 0.4	-0.5 ± 0.5	5.6 ± 0.4	21.3 ± 0.5	17.1 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 0.5
$11739-2903^{\dagger}$	 # 	 	 # 	 + 	 + 	 # 	 	 # 	 	18.9 ± 0.7	 	9.6 ± 0.7
J1740+1311	26.8 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 0.2	27.8 ± 0.3	19.7 ± 0.3	14.9 ± 0.3	40.5 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.2	5.9 ± 0.2	26.7 ± 0.3	23.0 ± 0.3	14.8 ± 0.3
J1741-0840	39.5 ± 0.4	-0.9 ± 0.5	5.5 ± 0.3	20.6 ± 0.1	 + 	17.0 ± 0.1	40.4 ± 0.4	-3.2 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.3	18.0 ± 0.1	 + -	14.0 ± 0.1
J1741-3927*	12.3 ± 0.7	0.2 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 0.4	51.7 ± 0.4	46.7 ± 0.4	21.9 ± 0.4	18.5 ± 0.8	-0.8 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.3	22.3 ± 0.4	16.9 ± 0.4	7.2 ± 0.4
J1745-3040	27.1 ± 1.3	-5.4 ± 1.5	8.9 ± 1.1	34.9 ± 0.6	 # 	9.2 ± 0.6	41.7 ± 0.4	-4.8 ± 0.5	7.3 ± 0.3	35.9 ± 0.6	21.7 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.6
J1748-1300	21.5 ± 0.7	0.8 ± 0.6	5.7 ± 0.5	25.4 ± 0.6	21.3 ± 0.6	10.3 ± 0.6	25.0 ± 1.6	3.8 ± 1.0	5.3 ± 0.8	21.1 ± 0.6	18.6 ± 0.6	9.8 ± 0.6
J1750-3503	58.5 ± 7.9	-2.9 ± 12.0	21.3 ± 8.5	43.9 ± 0.3	 # 	38.3 ± 0.3	46.7 ± 2.4	-3.2 ± 4.1	17.2 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 0.3	 # 	34.0 ± 0.3
J1751-4657	33.2 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.1	15.0 ± 0.3	11.4 ± 0.3	8.3 ± 0.3	26.7 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.2	11.4 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.3	7.4 ± 0.3
J1752-2806	8.6 ± 0.0	-1.4 ± 0.0	3.5 ± 0.0	19.8 ± 0.4	9.0 ± 0.4	5.5 ± 0.4	10.4 ± 0.0	-1.5 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 0.0	19.2 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 0.4
J1757-2421	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 + 	 # 	 + 	 # 	 + 	29.5 ± 1.0	 	22.3 ± 1.0
J1801-0357	16.0 ± 1.8	4.9 ± 2.5	16.2 ± 1.5	14.0 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.2	5.2 ± 0.2	19.4 ± 1.1	-4.2 ± 2.6	22.3 ± 1.2	13.2 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.2
J1801-2920	34.5 ± 0.9	-1.6 ± 1.0	10.4 ± 0.7	23.8 ± 0.2		12.4 ± 0.2	37.0 ± 1.6	-3.5 ± 1.9	11.8 ± 1.3	21.4 ± 0.2		11.3 ± 0.2
J1807-0847	34.1 ± 1.0	-0.6 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 0.5	54.0 ± 1.4	$\begin{array}{c} 48.6 \pm 1.4 \\ - \end{array}$	18.4 ± 1.4	18.7 ± 0.7	-0.7 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 0.3	35.1 ± 1.4	29.2 ± 1.4	8.6 ± 1.4
0100-00011	0.7 ± 0.02	0.7 ± 0.7	11.0 ± 0.01	0.0 ± 1.22	 	14.9 ± 0.3	2.0 H 4.76	9.9 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 1.11	20 ± 0.01	 	1.2 ± 0.3
0002-01010 11817-3618	0.0 ± 0.00	-77 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.61	20 ± 0.6	15 8 ± 0.6	0.4.9 H 0.4 6 0 + 0.6	4. + 1. + 1. + 1. +	0.C ± 1.U1 	0.7 H C.01 +	+.0 H C.00	 + 	+:0 H C.07
11817-3837	20.1 ± 2.8	1.7 ± 3.0	0.0 + L 8 C C + L 8	13.4 ± 0.6	20 + + 	0.4 ± 0.6	3.0 + 0.6	-60 + 21	70 ± 18	$\frac{101 + 0.6}{10.1 + 0.6}$	 +	$\frac{1}{7}$ 1 + 0.6
11870-0477*	0.7 ± 0.2	-101 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 10.1	186 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.0	32.2 ± 2.0	-0.5 ± 2.1	11.7 ± 0.1	16.1 ± 0.0	10.0 ± 0.1	55 ± 0.0
11822-2256	7.6 ± 0.3	-5.1 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.3	255 ± 0.1	24.7 ± 0.1	15.2 ± 0.1	20.3 ± 0.1	-8.2 ± 0.1	88 ± 0.4	16.3 ± 0.2		10.6 ± 0.1
11823-0154*	; + 	; + 	; + 	12.9 ± 0.3	5 + 5	6.0 ± 0.3	13.6 ± 1.7	7.0 ± 1.0	98 + 16	83 ± 0.3	+ 03	2.9 ± 0.3
11823 ± 0550	$\frac{-}{14.6 + 0.2}$	$\frac{-}{8.4\pm0.3}$	13.9 ± 0.2	34.1 ± 0.3	20.6 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.3	19.1 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.4	12.2 ± 1.0	28.6 ± 0.3	; + 	17.2 ± 0.3
J1823-3106	55.9 ± 0.4	-9.6 ± 0.3	10.0 ± 0.3	24.0 ± 0.8	15.9 ± 0.8	8.1 ± 0.8	48.1 ± 0.9	-8.2 ± 0.7	8.5 ± 0.7	16.2 ± 0.8	14.1 ± 0.8	7.5 ± 0.8
J1834-0426	33.0 ± 0.8	-1.0 ± 0.6	6.2 ± 0.3	130.3 ± 0.8	121.4 ± 0.8	54.9 ± 0.8	26.5 ± 0.5	-3.5 ± 0.7	5.7 ± 0.3	126.9 ± 0.8	124.8 ± 0.8	108.3 ± 0.8
J1835-1020	 # 	 	 # 	 + 	 + 	 # 	 	 # 	 	12.6 ± 0.7	 	10.8 ± 0.7
J1835-1106*	49.4 ± 2.5	8.0 ± 3.4	13.5 ± 2.3	46.9 ± 1.4	 # 	26.7 ± 1.3	57.4 ± 2.3	7.9 ± 2.6	9.8 ± 2.2	25.6 ± 1.4	 # 	13.3 ± 1.3
J1841 + 0912	24.6 ± 1.4	18.3 ± 1.5	19.0 ± 1.5	13.5 ± 0.6	 + 	8.1 ± 0.6	30.1 ± 1.1	17.2 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 0.9	14.4 ± 0.6	13.9 ± 0.6	7.9 ± 0.6
$J1842-0359^{*}$	 + 	 + 	 + 	95.1 ± 0.9	 + 	 + 	40.4 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.7	9.4 ± 0.4	80.9 ± 0.4	 + 	64.2 ± 0.4

Table 3 (Continued) MITRA ET AL.

9

						Table 3 (Continued)						
			333	MHz					618 N	AHz		
PSR	T%	$\Lambda\%$	171	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	W_{10} (deg)	W_{50} (deg)	T_{2}	$A^{9\!/}$	<i>N</i>	$W_{5\sigma}$ (deg)	W ₁₀ (°)	W ₅₀ (°)
J1843-0000*	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	 + 	18.2 ± 2.0	-2.5 ± 2.6	6.4 ± 1.8	23.6 ± 0.3	 + 	13.0 ± 0.3
11844 + 1454	17.9 ± 0.5	-1.4 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 0.4	17.0 ± 0.6	13.8 ± 0.6	9.3 ± 0.6	26.5 ± 1.8	-3.0 ± 1.8	7.2 ± 1.2	15.1 ± 0.6	 + -	10.4 ± 0.6
J1847-0402*	12.0 ± 2.4	5.6 ± 2.6	6.8 ± 2.1	73.3 ± 0.4	70.3 ± 0.4	21.9 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.7	-0.2 ± 0.8	4.6 ± 0.5	20.3 ± 0.4	18.9 ± 0.4	11.1 ± 0.4
J1848-0123*	 # 	 # 	 # 	88.3 ± 0.3	 # 	47.2 ± 0.3	9.6 ± 0.3	-4.0 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.3	41.6 ± 0.3	32.5 ± 0.3	11.5 ± 0.3
J1848–1414	 # 	 # 	 + 	19.3 ± 3.8	 # 	19.0 ± 3.7	 # 	 + 	 # 	15.5 ± 3.8	 # 	13.4 ± 3.7
J1849-0636*	 # 	 + 	 # 	20.3 ± 0.2	19.4 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.6	13.1 ± 0.2	7.6 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.2
J1852-2610	31.9 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 0.5	35.3 ± 0.7	33.1 ± 0.7	8.0 ± 0.7	37.1 ± 1.7	3.2 ± 1.6	10.0 ± 1.3	27.4 ± 0.7	 # 	8.4 ± 0.7
J1900-2600	31.0 ± 0.3	-2.5 ± 0.4	17.6 ± 0.2	49.2 ± 0.4	40.5 ± 0.4	20.7 ± 0.4	38.1 ± 0.4	-3.5 ± 0.4	14.7 ± 0.3	44.8 ± 0.4	39.9 ± 0.4	26.3 ± 0.4
J1901-0906	38.9 ± 0.7	-2.8 ± 0.5	6.5 ± 0.4	15.8 ± 0.1	13.6 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	34.6 ± 1.1	-5.0 ± 0.9	8.0 ± 0.7	12.2 ± 0.1	12.0 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1
11909 + 1102	37.3 ± 0.3	-3.9 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.3	32.1 ± 0.8	15.6 ± 0.8	7.7 ± 0.8	35.3 ± 0.5	-10.2 ± 0.5	10.7 ± 0.4	18.7 ± 0.8	10.3 ± 0.8	6.5 ± 0.8
J1910 + 0358	35.7 ± 0.6	-8.3 ± 0.8	12.9 ± 0.7	72.7 ± 0.3	 + 	42.5 ± 0.3	35.8 ± 1.1	-4.7 ± 1.3	13.1 ± 1.0	71.1 ± 0.3	 # 	8.3 ± 0.3
J1913-0440	10.9 ± 0.1	-1.6 ± 0.1	4.7 ± 0.1	13.3 ± 0.3	6.2 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.3	12.9 ± 0.1	-4.1 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3
J1916 + 0951	24.2 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 2.1	12.7 ± 1.4	17.4 ± 0.8	 # 	7.8 ± 0.8	27.0 ± 2.1	7.6 ± 2.3	11.5 ± 1.7	17.7 ± 0.8	 + 	14.1 ± 0.8
J1917+1353	44.4 ± 0.6	-7.4 ± 0.5	8.1 ± 0.4	26.9 ± 1.2	19.1 ± 1.1	9.3 ± 1.1	43.6 ± 1.1	-7.0 ± 1.1	7.6 ± 1.0	20.0 ± 1.2	16.6 ± 1.1	6.6 ± 1.1
11919 + 0021	19.4 ± 0.2	10.5 ± 0.3	11.4 ± 0.2	12.7 ± 0.2	10.7 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.2	19.4 ± 1.3	14.2 ± 1.4	17.6 ± 1.2	11.3 ± 0.2	11.0 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.2
11919 + 0134	 + 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	 # 	37.8 ± 1.5	-6.0 ± 2.1	12.4 ± 1.5	21.0 ± 0.4	 # 	15.2 ± 0.4
11921 + 1948	 + 	 + 	 + 	59.3 ± 0.3	 + 	20.4 ± 0.3	 # 	 + 	 # 	50.8 ± 0.3	 + 	26.2 ± 0.3
J1921+2153	 # 	 + 	 # 	 # 	 + 	 # 	8.5 ± 0.0	1.9 ± 0.0	4.1 ± 0.0	18.7 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.2	9.0 ± 0.2
$11932 + 1059^{\dagger}$	84.4 ± 0.1	-9.0 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1	52.8 ± 1.0	23.5 ± 1.0	10.6 ± 1.0	75.0 ± 0.2	-6.6 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.1	47.7 ± 1.0	21.9 ± 1.0	10.6 ± 1.0
J1941-2602	42.6 ± 1.2	-3.4 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 0.9	13.0 ± 0.6	12.0 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.5	46.3 ± 0.9	-9.4 ± 0.8	9.6 ± 0.8	12.3 ± 0.6	10.6 ± 0.6	3.6 ± 0.5
J1946 + 1805	24.5 ± 0.3	-7.3 ± 0.3	8.1 ± 0.3	49.6 ± 0.5	35.6 ± 0.5	12.0 ± 0.5	33.0 ± 0.4	-12.2 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 0.5	40.2 ± 0.5	36.4 ± 0.5	20.9 ± 0.5
J2006-0807	32.5 ± 0.7	-1.6 ± 0.7	7.0 ± 0.4	70.1 ± 0.4	 # 	57.7 ± 0.4	43.4 ± 1.1	2.7 ± 1.3	10.1 ± 0.7	59.6 ± 0.4	 # 	49.0 ± 0.4
J2046-0421	20.7 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1	14.5 ± 0.2	-12.4 ± 0.3	12.7 ± 0.3	10.1 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.1
J2046 + 1540	 # 	 # 	 # 	 + 	 # 	 # 	33.1 ± 1.5	-7.3 ± 1.2	9.8 ± 0.9	15.6 ± 0.2	 # 	3.0 ± 0.2
J2048-1616	39.2 ± 0.1	11.7 ± 0.0	11.8 ± 0.0	24.1 ± 0.1	17.6 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1	42.9 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.0	19.1 ± 0.1	16.2 ± 0.1	13.9 ± 0.1
J2144-3933	33.2 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.2	20.9 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	26.1 ± 1.7	10.7 ± 3.3	20.4 ± 2.3	1.4 ± 0.2	 # 	1.1 ± 0.2
J2305 + 3100	11.7 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 0.2	5.8 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1	4.9 ± 0.1	 # 	 # 	 ++ 	 # 	 # 	 #
J2313+4253	22.1 ± 0.2	-2.1 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.1	20.5 ± 0.6	17.0 ± 0.6	9.6 ± 0.6	 + 	 + 	 + 	 # 	 + 	 #
J2317 + 2149	28.8 ± 0.2	-0.9 ± 0.4	10.0 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 0.2	8.5 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.6	-13.9 ± 0.7	14.3 ± 0.7	8.3 ± 0.2	 + 	5.3 ± 0.2
J2330-2005	31.8 ± 0.2	-9.6 ± 0.2	11.3 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	22.5 ± 0.2	-15.4 ± 0.3	15.5 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1
J2346-0609	31.8 ± 0.9	12.6 ± 0.8	13.3 ± 0.7	24.6 ± 0.2	22.7 ± 0.2	18.5 ± 0.2	43.9 ± 1.3	10.9 ± 1.5	13.4 ± 1.2	19.3 ± 0.2	 # 	16.7 ± 0.2

Note. Pulsars with superscript * are highly scattered pulsars; those with superscript † are pulsars with interpulses where we have only reported the main pulse emission.



Figure 2. W_{50} , W_{10} , and $W_{5\sigma}$ width in our sample as given in Table 3 as a function of pulsar period. The black and red points correspond to 333 and 618 MHz, respectively. The red lines in the figures correspond to $2^{\circ}7P^{-0.5}$, $5^{\circ}.7P^{-0.5}$, and $6^{\circ}.3P^{-0.5}$, respectively. The bottom panels show the ratio of the widths at the two frequencies as a function of pulsar period. The gray dashed lines are at 0.90, 0.92, and 0.84, respectively, and show the median values of the ratio. See Section 4.1.2 for details.

the component and the separation of the components. Thus, the fact that in these measurements the period scaling of $P^{-0.5}$ still seems to hold implies that the spacing between the components has a similar period scaling to the component (W_{50}) widths. The presence of an LBL in the width distribution is a curious phenomenon, and a more detailed study to understand the LBL as a function of pulsar frequency and other profile measures is currently under way.

4.1.2. Average Polarization Properties

In Table 3 we list the average degree of linear %L = $\sum_{i} L(\phi_i) / I(\phi_i)$, circular $\% V = \sum_{i} V(\phi_i) / I(\phi_i)$, and absolute circular $\mathscr{H}[V] = \sum_{i} |V(\phi_i)| / I(\phi_i)$ polarization, where the summation is across pulse phase ϕ_i and is performed for only statistically significant values, i.e., with S/N > 3, for the respective quantities, and the noises were estimated from the off-pulse region. It is to be noted that while Stokes I, Q, U, and V have errors with Gaussian distribution, the quantities %L, %V, and %|V| have non-Gaussian error distributions, and hence error estimates for these quantities cannot be computed using standard error propagation (Mitra et al. 2015). Instead, we took the following approach to estimate the errors. Using the noise rms obtained from the off-pulse region, we constructed a large number of profiles by varying each of the four Stokes parameters randomly within the rms value. For each of these profiles we estimated the average %L, %V, and %|V| as described above. The median and the rms values of this distribution were used as estimates and errors, respectively. Figure 1 shows the details of these measurements for one pulsar. In a number of cases, PSR J1648-3256, J1848-1414, J1849–0636, and J1921+1948 at 333 MHz and PSR J1739-2903 (main pulse), J1757–2421, J1835–1020, J1848-1414, and J1921+1948 at 618 MHz, there were insufficient polarization measurements above the rms cutoff to yield any average polarization values despite the total intensity profile having sufficient S/N. These are examples of extreme depolarization in the pulsar population.

The degree of polarization has been shown to be correlated with pulsar period P, period derivative \dot{P} , and their derived parameters, particularly the spin-down energy loss $\dot{E} = 4\pi^2 I\dot{P}P^{-3}$ erg s⁻¹, where *I* is the moment of inertia having a typical value of 10^{45} g cm² and characteristic age $\tau =$ $0.5P\dot{P}^{-1}$ yr (Von Hoensbroech 1998; von Hoensbroech et al. 1998, GL98, Crawford et al. 2001; Weltevrede & Johnston 2008, hereafter WJ08; Han et al. 2009). The strongest correlation is observed between %L and \dot{E} , with high- \dot{E} pulsars showing higher degree of linear polarization. The correlation was a highlight of the study conducted by WJ08 at 1.4 GHz using 350 pulsars in the \dot{E} range of $\sim 10^{30} - 10^{37.5}$ erg s⁻¹, where a distinct but gradual transition is seen around $\dot{E} \sim 10^{34}$ -10³⁵ erg s⁻¹, above which the degree of polarization is very high, with a mean value of 60%, and below this level it decreases to 20%. A similar correlation in the meter wavelengths has also been reported by GL98. We have observed similar correlations in our data, where the dependence of %L with P, \dot{P} , \dot{E} , and τ is shown in Figure 3. It is to be noted that there exists a spread in the measured values of the degree of polarization along all \dot{E} ; however, the mean values obtained by dividing the data along multiple bins in \dot{E} reveal clear trends in agreement with WJ08. The minimum of the mean linear polarization is about 20% at $\dot{E} \sim 10^{32.3} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$, which rises marginally to 30% below this level but increases significantly to 70% in the higher \dot{E} range. Another interesting dependence of %L on τ is observed where younger pulsars ($\tau < 10^6 \text{ yr}$) show very high polarizations, up to 70%, compared to older ones $(\tau \gg 10^6 \text{ yr})$ with $\%L \sim 30\%$. Our data show no evidence for any correlation for %V and %|V| to any of these pulsar parameters.

4.2. Single-pulse Properties

The observed pulsed emission can be analyzed in a number of ways, as illustrated in Figures 4 and 5 for the pulsar J0630 -2834 and in Figure 6 at the two observing frequencies. The



Figure 3. Percentage of linear polarization for all the observed pulsars (Table 2) plotted as a function of different pulsar parameters. The black and red short lines correspond to 333 and 618 MHz, respectively. The black and red points with error bars correspond to median values of the sample. See Section 4.1.2 for details.

data can be used to study pulsar phenomena involving both single-pulse total intensity and single-pulse polarization.

4.2.1. Single-pulse Total Intensity

A useful way of plotting single-pulse data are single-pulse stacks where the intensity corresponding to consecutive pulsar period is plotted on top of each other, as shown in Figure 4. The main panel in the plot represents a color-coded contour of the single-pulse stack of total intensity $I(j, \phi_i)$, which corresponds to the *j*th pulse along the *y*-axis and the pulse phase ϕ_i along the *x*-axis. The baseline levels for each of the single pulses were estimated from a region of minimum rms in the off-pulse window, which was subtracted from each pulse to create the stack. In addition, the pulses affected by RFI were identified using a statistical approach, determining the mean and rms in an off-pulse window for each pulse, where the pulses exceeding 5 times the median noise were identified as

outliers and shown on the rightmost strip of the figure (horizontal red lines). The outlier pulses were not included in subsequent analysis. The on-pulse window bounded by the longitude range n_1 and n_2 was determined as the region above 5 times the rms of the off-pulse window and marked with blue bordering lines. The average energy corresponding to each single pulse was determined as $\sum_{i=n_1}^{n_2} I(j, \phi_i) / N_{\text{bins}}$ within the on-pulse window having N_{bins} number of bins and is shown as the black curve on the left panel. The average profile after rejecting the RFI affected pulses is shown as the black curve in the lowermost panel. In addition, we have also estimated the fluctuation of the pulse-to-pulse intensity along every longitude ϕ_i by measuring the rms of $I(j, \phi_i)$, which is shown as the green points in the lowermost panel. The longitude-resolved modulation index $m(\phi_i)$ is shown as the red points with error bars in the lowermost panel and is defined as $m(\phi_i) =$ $(\sqrt{\langle I(j, \phi_i)^2 \rangle} - \langle I(j, \phi_i) \rangle^2) / \langle I(j, \phi_i) \rangle$, where the angle



Figure 4. The central panels on the left and right plots show single-pulse stacks of PSR J0630-2834 at 333 and 618 MHz, respectively. The red horizontal lines on the rightmost strip correspond to pulses affected by RFI. The blue vertical bars in the bottom panels correspond to the leading and trailing edge of the pulse estimated at the 5σ level, the black curve corresponds to the average total intensity, the green points in the bottom panels are the rms of the intensity fluctuation along each longitude, and the red points show the modulation index. The black curve in the left panels shows the variation of the average single-pulse intensity as a function of pulse number. See Section 4.2.1 for details.

brackets indicate mean values and the errors were estimated using Monte Carlo simulations (see Weltevrede et al. 2012). The MSPES data set showed a rich variety in the distribution of on-pulse energy of single-pulse intensity, which is related to phenomena such as pulsar nulling, moding (e.g., Wang et al. 2007), and interstellar scintillation (e.g., Rickett 1977). This variation can be effectively represented by plotting onpulse and off-pulse energy histograms of single pulses (see Ritchings 1976), an example of which is shown for PSR J0630-2834 in Figure 5. The red histogram corresponds to the offpulse energy histogram, which was computed by first finding an off-pulse window in the average profile that corresponds to a minimum rms region and then using the same window to find the mean energies of the single pulses. For purely white noise the off-pulse histogram should have a normal distribution. However, as seen in Figure 5, the distribution, particularly at 333 MHz, is asymmetric and arises due to a presence of gain variations, leading to systematics in the baseline level, and lowlevel RFI, which could not be detected through our RFI excision algorithm. The on-pulse histogram is the blue histogram in Figure 5 and was computed by finding the single-pulse energy in the on-pulse window corresponding to 5σ pulse width. The on-pulse energy distribution has a contribution from the low-level RFI and baseline variations, as well as from pulsar single-pulse phenomena associated with nulling, moding, and scintillation. A proper investigation of these phenomena will need to address the issue of mitigating the low-level RFI and baseline systematics (one method eliminate baseline systematics has been devised in to MSPESII, Appendix). Currently more detailed studies of these phenomena are under way and will be reported elsewhere.

The next important single-pulse phenomenon is subpulse drifting. The pulsed emission is composed of one or more components called subpulses, which in certain pulsars are seen to exhibit periodic variation. This phenomenon is known as subpulse drifting and has been a subject of considerable interest for understanding the radio emission mechanism. The largest study of subpulse drifting has been conducted by Weltevrede et al. (2006, 2007), where 187 pulsars were studied and drifting features were reported in 68 pulsars with 42 new detections. A comprehensive study of the phenomenon of drifting subpulses using the present data set has been carried out by Basu et al. (2016, hereafter MSPESII), which is being presented as an accompanying paper. The principal outcomes of the studies are as follows: we detected drifting features in 39 pulsars at 333 MHz and 44 pulsars at 618 MHz, with a total of 57 pulsars showing some features of drifting. The drifting phenomenon was detected for the first time in 22 pulsars, which increased the sample of drifting pulsars by around 20%, making this one of the largest such studies conducted. In Table 1 pulsars showing drifting are indicated as "D" and the new detections are indicated as "D*." As demonstrated in MSPESII, the superior quality of single pulses in the current study enabled us to estimate the drifting properties with much higher significance.

4.2.2. Single-pulse Polarization

Several examples of single-pulse polarization are shown in Figure 6. The plot represents the distribution of the single-pulse phased-resolved PPA (gray scale), with only statistically significant points exceeding three times the off-pulse noise



PSR J0630-2834 Freq=618.667 MHz MJD=56739.4925837 @GMRT



Figure 5. The left and right panels show the off-pulse (red curves) and on-pulse (blue curves) energy histograms for PSR J0630-2834 based on the data in Figure 4 for 333 and 618 MHz, respectively. See Section 4.2.1 for details.

levels shown in the plot. The average PPA is overlaid as a red curve. We found $\sim 60\%$ of the pulsars in our sample to exhibit PPA histograms with some discernible points within the pulse window (see Table 1). The circular polarizations of the single pulses were weak for most pulsars barring a few bright cases, which will be studied in a future paper.

The PPA histograms exhibit a variety of shapes ranging from simple S-shaped curves (RVM) to extremely complex structures. In some cases the two orthogonal polarization tracks are clearly visible. The PPA values are determined within $(-90^{\circ}, +90^{\circ})$, and at any given longitude there are two distinct distributions: a tightly bunched distribution confined to around 10°-20°, mostly seen in pulsars with S-shaped PPA tracks, and a wide spread that sometimes covers the entire window. Despite a large variation in shape, a pattern connecting the PPA histogram and the average degree of linear polarization emerges in our sample. In Figure 6 we show examples of pulsars with three distinct PPA behaviors at 333 and 610 MHz: (1) the top two panels show pulsars with high linear polarization, $\%L \sim 60\% - 70\%$, which are typically associated with $\dot{E} \ge 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The single-pulse polarizations in these cases are close to the average value, and the PPA histograms show tight bunching, sometimes with a hint of weak OPM. (2) The middle two panels are examples of pulsars with extremely low linear polarization, % L < 10%, associated with \dot{E} between 10^{32} and $10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The PPA histograms show chaotic shapes with random spread within the window. (3) The bottom two panels are examples of pulsars with intermediate polarizations, $\%L \sim 30\%$ and $\dot{E} < 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. These typify PPA with low spread, resembling the RVM, and exhibit clear OPM. A detailed study of single-pulse polarization and how it leads to depolarization in average profiles will be presented elsewhere.

5. SUMMARY

In this paper we have described the time-averaged and single-pulse emission properties of the pulsars in MSPES conducted using the GMRT at 333 and 618 MHz. These observations were aimed at a systematic and detailed study of the pulsar radio emission properties. The calibrated data sets (Section 3) have been used to estimate the pulse widths and average linear and circular polarizations in the pulsar sample (Table 3 and discussion in Section 4). The effect of RFM is clearly demonstrated in the pulse widths, with an estimated

power-law index of $a \sim -0.19$ for the evolution of widths between 333 and 618 MHz. The pulse width distribution with period had a lower bound, with the LBL corresponding to $2^{\circ}7P^{-0.5}$, $5.7P^{-0.5}$, and $6.3P^{-0.5}$ for W_{50} , W_{10} , and $W_{5\sigma}$, respectively, at 618 MHz. The LBL for W_{50} at 1.4 GHz was found to be $2^{\circ}.45P^{-0.5}$ by Maciesiak et al. (2012) and interpreted as emission from the narrowest angular structure, mainly the core/conal component, in a pulse profile. They invoke the partially screened vacuum gap (PSG) model (Gil et al. 2003) of the inner accelerating region, which was initially suggested by Ruderman & Sutherland (1975), and argue that the components in a pulse profile are related to the sparking discharge in the PSG. They further demonstrate that the numerical factor of ~2°.45 in the W_{50} widths can be related to the radius of curvature of non-dipolar magnetic field in the PSG, where dense electron-positron plasma is created due to the sparking process and finally the pulsar radio emission arises at altitudes of about 50 stellar radii above the neutron star surface. Our result for W_{50} LBL supports the findings of Maciesiak et al. (2012), where the slightly higher numerical factor of 2°.7 at 618 MHz can be attributed to RFM. The W_{10} and $W_{5\sigma}$ LBL, however, is not connected to the component widths; instead, they measure widths for both the components and the separation of the components, and the LBL suggests the nonexistence of pulsed radio emission structures below this level in the pulsar population. The $P^{-0.5}$ dependence of the widths follows from the nature of the dipolar open magnetic field lines in the radio emission region. The physical origin of this bound is currently unclear.

We found %L to be correlated with various pulsar parameters, confirming previous studies. The degree of correlation varies with various parameters, e.g., it is much stronger with P than \dot{P} . %L is seen to be as high as 70% for pulsars rotating faster than ~300 ms and about 30% for periods slower than 400 ms. The correlation of %L is also present with both \dot{E} and τ , where %L is 70% for $\dot{E} > 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $\tau < 10^{5.5} \, {\rm yr}$ and %L is 30% for $\dot{E} < 10^{34} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}$ and $\tau > 10^6$ yr. The physics of depolarization in pulsars is still poorly understood, which makes these results, particularly the transitions between high and low %L, important inputs into the various models. One likely source of depolarization is the presence of OPMs and non-OPMs (e.g., Rankin & Ramachandran 2003). Our single-pulse polarization data showed that several pulsars with low %L exhibit a variety of OPM and non-OPM distributions compared to high %L pulsars. A detailed



Figure 6. Example of single-pulse PPA histograms for three different ranges of \dot{E} , with three pulsars shown for each range at both 333 and 618 MHz. The top two panels correspond to $\dot{E} > 10^{34}$ erg s⁻¹, the middle two panels correspond to $\dot{E} < 10^{32} - 10^{34}$ erg s⁻¹, and the bottom two panels correspond to $\dot{E} < 10^{31}$ erg s⁻¹. A running mean of 3 bins has been applied to the data before plotting to increase the S/N of the PPA points.



Figure 7. The top panel shows the single-pulse stacks of J0034–0721; see Figure 4 and Section 4.2.1 for details. The middle row shows the off-pulse (red) and onpulse (blue) energy histograms; see Figure 5 and Section 4.2.1 for details. The bottom panel shows the single-pulse PPA histograms in gray scale (bottom panel, average PPA in red) along with the average total intensity (solid black line, top panel), linear polarization (dashed red, top panel), and circular polarization (dotted blue, top panel); see Figure 6 and Section 4.2.2 for details.

(The complete figure set (123 images) is available.)

single-pulse study revealing the relation between polarization properties of individual pulses and average pulses is essential to understand the depolarization process. Additionally, the highquality single-pulse data obtained in our survey showed the clear presence of nulling and subpulse drifting. In an accompanying paper, MSPESII, a detailed study of drifting subpulses revealed that around 45% of the pulsars in our sample exhibit drifting features, with 22 pulsars in which this phenomenon was detected for the first time, as indicated in Table 1.

Our data are consistent with the observational evidence that the coherent radio emission in pulsars originated at heights of 50 stellar radii or below 10% of the light cylinder (Blaskiewicz et al. 1991; Rankin 1993; Kijak & Gil 1997), which suggests the presence of strong magnetic fields ($\sim 10^8$ G) in the emission region. In such strong magnetic fields the radio-emitting plasma is constrained to move only along the field lines, with all transverse motions suspended. In this specialized condition only the two-stream instability can develop within the plasma, and our current understanding is that the nonlinear growth of the two-stream instability can lead to formation of charged relativistic solitons emitting coherent curvature radiation in plasma (Melikidze et al. 2000, 2014; Gil et al. 2004; Mitra et al. 2009). The supply of the radio-emitting plasma from the inner accelerating region is initiated by a sparking process (e.g., Ruderman & Sutherland 1975), and the drifting subpulse phenomenon is thought to be associated with the $E \times B$ drift, where E and B are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, in the inner accelerating region. The detailed study of the drifting subpulse phenomenon of our data in MSPESII confirms the presence of the inner accelerating region and favors the PSG model. However, major challenges are faced when the coherent curvature radiation theory is used to explain the polarization properties. The curvature radiation can excite the ordinary (O-mode) and extraordinary (X-mode) modes within the plasma (Melikidze et al. 2000, 2014; Gil et al. 2004; Mitra et al. 2009). The X-mode, with the waves polarized perpendicular to the magnetic field planes, can emerge from the plasma without suffering any propagation effect. This is supported by good observational evidence where the linear polarization vector emerges from the pulsar as X-mode (Lai et al. 2001; Johnston et al. 2005; Rankin 2015). The presence of OPMs, on the other hand, also suggests the emergence of the O-modes, where the polarization is in the plane of the magnetic field line. However, theoretical considerations expect the O-modes to be heavily damped within the plasma and unable to emerge (Arons & Barnard 1986; Melikidze et al. 2014). In addition, there is no theoretical basis to understand the presence of circular polarization observed in pulsars. We aim to carry out a systematic study of identifying the OPM in our large sample to better understand the polarization properties in pulsars.

The data presented in this paper, as well as in other studies, strongly suggest that the emission properties depend on pulsar parameters, particularly \dot{E} . It appears that \dot{E} change leads to a systematic change in the radio-emitting plasma, thereby affecting the pulsar emission properties. Our future aim is to use these observational results and perform further detailed analysis of emission properties to enhance our understanding of the physics of pulsar radio emission under the framework of the coherent curvature radio emission model, with our present work being a significant attempt toward these goals.

We would like to thank the late Prof. Janusz Gil for his leadership and inspiration, which have motivated us to start the MSPES project. We thank the referee for his comments, which helped to improve the paper. We thank Prof. Joanna Rankin and Dr. W. Lewandowski for critical comments on the manuscript. We would like to thank the staff of GMRT and NCRA for providing valuable support in carrying out this project. This work was supported by grants DEC-2012/05/B/ ST9/03924 and DEC-2013/09/B/ST9/02177 of the Polish National Science Centre. This work has been supported by Polish National Science Centre grant DEC-2011/03/D/ST9/ 00656 (K.K.). This work was financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) under project "CleanMachine" (614.001.301).

APPENDIX

We have made the plots and data products from our survey freely available to the user.

Several of the data products have been archived at http:// mspes.ia.uz.zgora.pl/ and are available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.59170.

The bulk download of files is also available from ftp:// ftpnkn.ncra.tifr.res.in/dmitra/MSPES/.

REFERENCES

- Arons, J., & Barnard, J. J. 1986, ApJ, 302, 120
- Basu, R., Mitra, D., Melikidze, G. I., et al. 2016, ApJ, 833, 29
- Biggs, J. D. 1990, MNRAS, 245, 514
- Blaskiewicz, M., Cordes, J. M., & Wasserman, I. 1991, ApJ, 370, 643
- Brentjens, M. A., & de Bruyn, A. G. 2005, A&A, 441, 1217
- Burke-Spolaor, S., Johnston, S., Bailes, M., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 1351
- Chen, J. L., & Wang, H. G. 2014, ApJS, 215, 11
- Crawford, F., Manchester, R. N., & Kaspi, V. M. 2001, AJ, 122, 2001
- Edwards, R. T., & Stappers, B. W. 2004, A&A, 421, 681
- Gil, J., Lyubarsky, Y., & Melikidze, G. I. 2004, ApJ, 600, 872
- Gil, J., Melikidze, G. I., & Geppert, U. 2003, A&A, 407, 315
- Gil, J. A., & Lyne, A. G. 1995, MNRAS, 276, L55
- Gould, D. M., & Lyne, A. G. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 235
- Gupta, Y., Gothoskar, P., Joshi, B. C., et al. 2000, in ASP Conf. Ser. 202, IAU Collog. 177, Pulsar Astronomy-2000 and Beyond, ed. M. Kramer, N. Wex, & R. Wielebinski (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 277
- Han, J. L., Demorest, P. B., van Straten, W., & Lyne, A. G. 2009, ApJS, 181. 557
- Hankins, T. H., & Eilek, J. A. 2007, ApJ, 670, 693
- Hobbs, G., Faulkner, A., Stairs, I. H., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1439
- Jessner, A., Popov, M. V., Kondratiev, V. I., et al. 2010, A&A, 524, A60 Johnston, S. 2002, PASA, 19, 277
- Johnston, S., Hobbs, G., Vigeland, S., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 364, 1397 Johnston, S., Karastergiou, A., Mitra, D., & Gupta, Y. 2008, MNRAS,
- 388, 261
- Johnston, S., van Straten, W., Kramer, M., & Bailes, M. 2001, ApJL, 549, L101
- Johnston, S., & Weisberg, J. M. 2006, MNRAS, 368, 1856
- Karastergiou, A., & Johnston, S. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1678
- Karastergiou, A., Johnston, S., & Manchester, R. N. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 481
- Karastergiou, A., Kramer, M., Johnston, S., et al. 2002, A&A, 391, 247
- Kijak, J., & Gil, J. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 631
- Kramer, M., Xilouris, K. M., Jessner, A., Wielebinski, R., & Timofeev, M. 1996, A&A, 306, 867
- Kramer, M., Xilouris, K. M., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 1998, ApJ, 501, 270
- Lai, D., Chernoff, D. F., & Cordes, J. M. 2001, ApJ, 549, 1111
- Lyne, A. G., & Manchester, R. N. 1988, MNRAS, 234, 477
- Maciesiak, K., & Gil, J. 2011, MNRAS, 417, 1444
- Maciesiak, K., Gil, J., & Melikidze, G. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1762
- Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., & Hobbs, M. 2005, AJ, 129, 1993 Manchester, R. N., Taylor, J. H., & Huguenin, G. R. 1975, ApJ, 196, 83
- Melikidze, G. I., Gil, J. A., & Pataraya, A. D. 2000, ApJ, 544, 1081
- Melikidze, G. I., Mitra, D., & Gil, J. 2014, ApJ, 794, 105
- 17

- Mitra, D., Arjunwadkar, M., & Rankin, J. M. 2015, ApJ, 806, 236
- Mitra, D., & Deshpande, A. A. 1999, A&A, 346, 906
- Mitra, D., Gil, J., & Melikidze, G. I. 2009, ApJL, 696, L141
- Mitra, D., Gupta, Y., & Kudale, S. 2005, Polarization Calibration of the Phased Array Mode of the GMRT, URSI GA 2005, Commission J03a
- Mitra, D., & Li, X. H. 2004, A&A, 421, 215
- Mitra, D., & Rankin, J. M. 2002, ApJ, 577, 322
- Mitra, D., & Rankin, J. M. 2011, ApJ, 727, 92
- Mitra, D., Rankin, J. M., & Gupta, Y. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 932
- Mitra, D., Wielebinski, R., Kramer, M., & Jessner, A. 2003, A&A, 398, 993 Noutsos, A., Sobey, C., Kondratiev, V. I., et al. 2015, A&A, 576, A62
- Pilia, M., Hessels, J. W. T., Stappers, B. W., et al. 2016, A&A, 586, A92
- Radhakrishnan, V., & Cooke, D. J. 1969, ApL, 3, 225
- Rankin, J. M. 1990, ApJ, 352, 247
- Rankin, J. M. 1993, ApJ, 405, 285

- Rankin, J. M. 2015, ApJ, 804, 112
- Rankin, J. M., & Ramachandran, R. 2003, ApJ, 590, 411
- Rickett, B. J. 1977, ARA&A, 15, 479

- Ritchings, R. T. 1976, MNRAS, 176, 249
- Roy, J., Gupta, Y., Pen, U.-L., et al. 2010, ExA, 28, 25
- Ruderman, M. A., & Sutherland, P. G. 1975, ApJ, 196, 51
- Sirothia, S. 2000, M.Sc. thesis, Univ. Pune
- Sobey, C., Young, N. J., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2493
- Soglasnov, V. A., Popov, M. V., Bartel, N., et al. 2004, ApJ, 616, 439
- Stinebring, D. R., Cordes, J. M., Rankin, J. M., Weisberg, J. M., & Boriakoff, V. 1984, ApJS, 55, 247
- Swarup, G., Ananthakrishnan, S., Kapahi, V. K., et al. 1991, CuSc, 60, 95 Taylor, J. H., Manchester, R. N., & Huguenin, G. R. 1975, ApJ, 195, 513
- Von Hoensbroech, A. 1998, MmSAI, 69, 1055
- von Hoensbroech, A., Kijak, J., & Krawczyk, A. 1998, A&A, 334, 571
- von Hoensbroech, A., & Xilouris, K. M. 1997, A&AS, 126, 121
- Wang, N., Manchester, R. N., & Johnston, S. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1383
- Weltevrede, P., Edwards, R. T., & Stappers, B. W. 2006, A&A, 445, 243
- Weltevrede, P., & Johnston, S. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1210
- Weltevrede, P., Stappers, B. W., & Edwards, R. T. 2007, A&A, 469, 607
- Weltevrede, P., Wright, G., & Johnston, S. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 843
- Xilouris, K. M., Kramer, M., Jessner, A., et al. 1998, ApJ, 501, 286